

**[CAPTION, including:]**

**DOB:**

**DLN:**

**Address:**

**VERIFIED PETITION FOR SPECIALIZED DRIVING PRIVILEGES**

COMES NOW the Petitioner and requests this court for an order granting him Specialized Driving Privileges pursuant to Indiana Code 9-30-16-1 *et seq.* and asks this court to find that the granting of specialized driving privileges is appropriate for the following reasons:

1. The undersigned Petitioner, [name], is [age] years of age having been born on [date of birth], and resides at [address]..

2. The Petitioner's driving privileges were suspended on [date of suspension] as a result of the Petitioner's conviction for [offense] in [cause number] or [other reason for suspension].

3. Said suspension is for [number of days] and will not expire until [date of expiration]. .

4. The suspension creates an extreme hardship on Petitioner in that he is unable to commute to and from work, doctors' appointments, religious services, and personal business.

5. The Petitioner desires that the suspension of his driving privileges be stayed subject to any reasonable requirements the court deems necessary.

6. The Petitioner is not disqualified from the granting of specialized driving privileges for any reason specified under Indiana Code 9-30-16-1.

7. A copy of this Petition has been served on the Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles via the U.S. Postal Service.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court grant this petition, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

I AFFIRM UNDER THE PAINS AND PENALTIES OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING REPRESENTATIONS ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
, Petitioner

[signature]

## REFERENCES

## CASEBANK K.9.i

### **I.C. 9-30-16-1 et. seq.**

Jones v. State, 62 N.E.3d 1205 (Ind. Ct. App. 2016) (in light of the fact appellant was a habitual traffic violator with approximately 27 traffic-related convictions since 1997 and two operating a vehicle while intoxicated convictions in 2004 and 2009, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's petition for specialized driving privileges. The trial court's concerns about enforceability and public safety supported its decision to deny appellant's petition).